Original Article

Ann Coloproctol 2023;39(3):260-266





Short- and long-term outcomes of subtotal/total colectomy in the management of obstructive left colon cancer

Jung Tak Son^{1,*}, Yong Bog Kim^{2,3,*}, Hyung Ook Kim³, Chungki Min³, Yongjun Park³, Sung Ryol Lee³, Kyung Uk Jung³, Hungdai Kim³

¹Department of Surgery, H Plus Yangji Hospital, Seoul, Korea

²Department of Medicine, Graduate School, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea

³Department of Surgery, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Purpose: Surgical management of obstructive left colon cancer (OLCC) is still a matter of debate. The classic Hartmann procedure (HP) has a disadvantage that requires a second major operation. Subtotal colectomy/total abdominal colectomy (STC/TC) with ileosigmoid or ileorectal anastomosis is proposed as an alternative procedure to avoid stoma and anastomotic leakage. However, doubts about morbidity and functional outcome and lack of long-term outcomes have made surgeons hesitate to perform this procedure. Therefore, this trial was designed to provide data for morbidity, functional outcomes, and long-term outcomes of STC/TC.

Methods: This study retrospectively analyzed consecutive cases of OLCC that were treated by STC/TC between January 2000 and November 2020 at a single tertiary referral center. Perioperative outcomes and long-term outcomes of STC/TC were analyzed.

Results: Twenty-five descending colon cancer (45.5%) and 30 sigmoid colon cancer cases (54.5%) were enrolled in this study. Postoperative complications occurred in 12 patients. The majority complication was postoperative ileus (10 of 12). Anastomotic leakage and perioperative mortality were not observed. At 6 to 12 weeks after the surgery, the median frequency of defecation was twice per day (interquartile range, 1–3 times per day). Eight patients (14.5%) required medication during this period, but only 3 of 8 patients required medication after 1 year. The 3-year disease-free survival was 72.7% and 3-year overall survival was 86.7%.

Conclusion: The risk of anastomotic leakage is low after STC/TC. Functional and long-term outcomes are also acceptable. Therefore, STC/TC for OLCC is a safe, 1-stage procedure that does not require diverting stoma.

Keywords: Colectomy; Obstructive left colon cancer; Treatment outcome

Received: Jan 20, 2022 • Revised: Mar 28, 2022 • Accepted: Apr 16, 2022 Correspondence to: Hyung Ook Kim, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan

University School of Medicine, 29 Saemunan-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03181, Korea

Email: ho115.kim@samsung.com

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0603-8766

*Jung Tak Son and Yong Bog Kim contributed equally to this work as cofirst authors.

•This paper was presented as a poster at the meeting of the 53rd Korean Society of Coloproctology Annual Meeting on September 5 to 6, 2020 in Seoul, Korea.

© 2023 The Korean Society of Coloproctology

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Screening programs for the early detection of colon cancer have become common. Nevertheless, 8% to 29% of colon cancer patients visit the hospital with acute colonic obstruction [1]. Since the right colon has a larger diameter than the left colon, the rate of obstruction is relatively low (35% vs. 65%) and 1-stage right hemicolectomy and anastomosis are preferred over the 2-stage procedure [2]. On the other hand, the diameter of the left colon is relatively small, so obstruction is more likely to occur, and there is still debate over the appropriate surgical procedure in this situation. The traditional method is the 2-stage Hartmann procedure (HP), or primary diverting colostomy. However, HP has disadvantages surrounding complications related to stoma and the need for a second operation. Moreover, about 45% of patients undergoing HP have to live with permanent stoma [3].

Perioperative placement of a self-expandable metallic stent (SEMS) has been used as a bridge to elective surgery and reported with a high success rate of 93.8%. Primary anastomosis was performed in 91.8% of patients and stoma creation was required only in 10.6% to 23.9% of patients [4–6]. In addition, SEMS makes preoperative colonoscopy possible with the advantage of being able to determine synchronous colon cancer in the proximal colon. However, colonic perforation occurred after SEMS in 1.5% to 8.9% of patients [4–6]. Moreover, stent-related perforation has been reported that is associated with an increased risk of global and locoregional recurrence of cancer [7]. As a result, a 1-stage procedure claimed to solve these problems that includes segmental colectomy (SC) with or without intraoperative colonic irrigation and subtotal colectomy/total abdominal colectomy (STC/TC).

SC and STC/TC are known to have low mortality and morbidity [8, 9]. In several studies comparing SC and STC/TC, different conclusions have been drawn. Kaser et al. [10] reported the rate of anastomosis leakage was lower in STC/TC compared to SC. On the other hand, Mege et al. [11] reported the rate of anastomotic leakage under SC was not higher than STC/TC. However, 15% of SC patients required diverting ileostomy. Ileostomy may have helped to reduce anastomotic leakage, but this procedure did not play a role as a true, 1-stage procedure [11]. In most studies, STC/ TC is reported as a safe 1-stage procedure that does not require a stoma, and the rate of anastomotic leakage reported has been very low [12-14]. Also, in a functional aspect, 31.2% of patients had diarrhea in the immediate postoperative period but most symptoms naturally improved or were controlled by antidiarrheal medication, and disabling diarrhea was reported in only 6.2% of patients [15]. Average bowel movement after 3 to 6 months and 12 months after surgery was reported as 2 stools per day [13, 14].

Despite these advantages, STC/TC is not generally chosen for the treatment of obstructive left colon cancer (OLCC). Mege et al. [11] reported only 13% use of the STC/TC procedure for OLCC in France. This result is considered to be due to concerns about morbidity or mortality caused by extensive resection, functional status, and lack of long-term data. Therefore, this study evaluated the perioperative morbidity, mortality, functional, and oncologic feasibility by analyzing the short-term and long outcomes of STC/ TC.

METHODS

Ethics statements

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (No. 2020-11-024). The informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Patient selection and data collection

Data were retrospectively collected from the medical records of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital from January 2007 to November 2020.

Annals of

Coloproctology

This study includes patients diagnosed with acute colonic obstruction caused by cancer located from the descending colon to the rectosigmoid colon where STC/TC was undergone. Patients who were diagnosed with combined colonic ischemia or perforation were included. Characteristics of patients including sex, age, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status (PS) classification, and length of hospital stay were collected from the medical records. Tumor location and stage were identified in pathologic and radiologic reports.

Procedure

All surgeries were performed by 3 colorectal specialists. Laparoscopic or open procedure was selected at the discretion of each surgeon. Distal rectal resection was always above the level above the pelvic promontory to preserve more than 10 cm of the rectum. The terminal ileum was carefully resected within 10 cm in length. If the rectum could not be preserved by more than 10 cm, STC/TC was not chosen, and these patients were excluded from this study. Lymph nodes were always dissected according to oncologic principle. The cases of ileorectal anastomosis after TC were defined as TC group and the cases of ileosigmoid or ileo-descending colon anastomosis with proximal colon resection were defined as STC group.

Statistical analysis

Collected data were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS ver. 24.0 (IBM Corp). Results are expressed as median value with interquartile range. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time from diagnosis to recurrence or death without evidence of recurrence. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from diagnosis to death as a result of all causes. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to construct DFS and OS curves. The log-rank test was used to compare distributions by stage.

RESULTS

General characteristics

During this period, 55 patients met the criteria. Of these, 34 (61.8%) were male and 21 (38.2%) were female. The median age of the enrolled patients was 71 years, and 36 of the patients (65.5%) were of old age (65 years or older). The comorbidities of patients were evaluated by ASA PS classification, and the number of patients with ASA PS classification of III or more was 31 (56.4%).

Of the 55 enrolled patients, 14 patients (25.5%) underwent TC, and 41 patients (74.5%) underwent STC. Stoma was not created in any cases. The median operation time was 175 minutes (range, 155–210 minutes). The median number of harvested lymph nodes was 42.0 (range, 26–61), and the median length of postop-



Short- and long-term outcomes of subtotal/total colectomy in the management of obstructive left colon cancer

Jung Tak Son, et al

Table 1. General characteristics of patients (n = 55)

Characteristic	Value
Age (yr)	71 (63–78)
Sex	
Male	34 (61.8)
Female	21 (38.2)
ASA PS classification	
l or ll	24 (43.6)
III or IV	31 (56.4)
Type of surgery	
Total colectomy	14 (25.5)
Subtotal colectomy	41 (74.5)
Length of surgery (min)	175 (155–210)
No. of harvested lymph nodes	42.0 (26–61)
Total hospital stay (day)	14.0 (11–17)
Postoperative hospital stay (day)	11.0 (9–14)
Time to tolerance of regular diet (day)	6.0 (4–7)

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%).

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; PS, physical status.

erative hospital stay was 11.0 days (range, 9–14 days). The median time required to return to regular diet after surgery was 6.0 days (range, 4–7 days) (Table 1).

Tumor characteristics and stage

When classified according to tumor location, 25 tumors (45.5%) were located in the descending colon and 30 tumors (54.5%) were located in the sigmoid colon. At the time of operation, 25 (45.5%) patients were diagnosed as stage II, 20 patients (36.4%) were diagnosed as stage IV. When each stage was subdivided, 15 (27.3%) were IIA, 6 (10.9%) IIB, 4 (7.3%) IIC, 16 (29.1%) IIIB, and 4 (7.3%) IIIC. When synchronous colon cancer was present, the stage of advanced cancer was applied.

Synchronous colon cancer was identified in 5 cases (9.1%). Combined tubular adenoma was identified in 23 patients (41.8%), and 4 of them were confirmed as high-grade dysplasia. Low-grade mucinous neoplasm of the appendix was identified in one case. Ischemia of the proximal colon was identified in 10 cases (18.2%) and perforation of the colon was identified in 5 patients (9.1%) (Table 2).

Complications

A total of 12 postoperative complications (21.8%) occurred. These included 2 pneumonia and 10 postoperative ileus cases. Nine patients with ileus improved with conservative treatment, but 1 patient needed reoperation. Except for this case, the Clavien-Dindo grades of other surgical complications were all grade II or lower. Anastomotic leakage and perioperative mortality were not ob-

Table 2. Tumor characteristics and stage (n = 55)

Variable	Value
Tumor location	
Descending colon	25 (45.5)
Sigmoid colon	30 (54.5)
Combined findings	
Synchronous colon cancer	5 (9.1)
Tubular adenoma	23 (41.8)
Appendiceal mucinous neoplasm	1 (1.8)
lschemia of proximal colon	10 (18.2)
Perforation of proximal colon	5 (9.1)
Stage ^a	
II	25 (45.5)
IIA	15 (27.3)
IIB	6 (10.9)
IIC	4 (7.3)
Ш	20 (36.4)
IIIB	16 (29.1)
IIIC	4 (7.3)
IV	10 (18.2)

Values are presented as number (%).

^aWhen synchronous colon cancer was present, the stage of advanced cancer was applied.

served.

Functional outcomes

At 6 to 12 weeks postoperatively, the median number of bowel movements was twice per day (interquartile range, 1–3 times per day). At postoperative 6 months, 8 patients (14.5%) complained of diarrhea and needed medications, 14.6% (6 of 41) and 14.3% (2 of 14) in STC and TC group, respectively. Four patients (7.3%) complained of moderate diarrhea which needs antidiarrheal medication at 6 to 12 months postoperatively, 4.9% (2 of 41) and 14.3% (2 of 14) in STC and TC groups, respectively. After 1 year, only 3 of 8 patients still required medication, 2.4% (1 of 41) and 14.3% (2 of 14) in STC and TC groups, respectively. No patients complained of severe diarrhea requiring hospitalization.

Disease-free survival

Except for 10 stage IV patients, 45 patients were analyzed for DFS. The median follow-up duration was 17.0 months (range, 8.0–45.0 months). Eight tumor recurrences (17.8%) and 3 mortalities (6.7%) were observed during this period. The 3-year DFS was 78.9% in stage II and 66.0% in stage III patients. When both stage II and III patients were included, 3-year DFS was 72.7% (Fig. 1A).

Overall survival

The median follow-up duration was 18.4 months (range, 7.0-40.0

Volume 39, Number 3, 2023



Fig. 1. Disease-free survival (A) and overall survival (B) of subtotal colectomy for obstructive left colon cancer.

months). During this period, 8 mortalities (14.5%) were observed and 5 of 8 were caused by progression of colon cancer. In all patients, the 3-year OS was 86.7%. When divided by stage, 3-year OS was 80.0% in stage II, 68.2% in stage III, and 44.4% in stage IV patients (Fig. 1B).

DISCUSSION

The rate of morbidity and mortality of emergency surgery for OLCC has been reported as high [16, 17]. Therefore, 2-stage procedures have been the classic choice of treatment to reduce operative morbidity and mortality caused by an unprepared bowel. However, stoma that are created to avoid complications not only cause other complications associated with the stoma but also deteriorate the quality of life [18]. The stoma reversal rate after HP is significantly lower than diverting loop ileostomy (50% vs. 90%) [19]. Also, complications related to stoma reversal cannot be overlooked. Garber et al. [20] reported that 29.1% of patients had postoperative complications after reversal of HP. Especially, they reported 3.8% anastomotic leak and 1.9% mortality. Moreover, 35% of patients who underwent HP could not undergo reversal surgery because of underlying general conditions [3, 21]. Therefore, the demand for a safe, 1-stage procedure that does not require a stoma is high.

One-stage procedures mainly include segmental resection with perioperative SEMS or intraoperative colonic irrigation and STC/TC. Perioperative placement of SEMS to bridge elective surgery has been used and reports a high success rate of 93.8%. Also, primary anastomosis could be performed in 91.8% of patients, and stoma creation was required only in 10.6% to 23.9% of patients [4–6]. In addition, preoperative colonoscopy has the advantage of being able to determine the presence of synchronous colon cancer

in the proximal colon. However, complications such as perforation may occur in 1.5% to 8.9% of patients due to SEMS [4–6]. Stent-related perforation is also associated with an increased risk of global and locoregional recurrence [7]. Currently, the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy guidelines and the latest Cochrane Systematic Review state that stent placement is not the treatment of choice in patients with potentially curable diseases and may be considered as an alternative to emergency surgery in patients with increased risk of perioperative mortality [22, 23].

Annals of

Coloproctology

SC with intraoperative colonic irrigation and STC/TC are both known to have low mortality and morbidity [8, 9]. In several studies comparing SC and STC/TC, different conclusions were drawn. Kluger et al. [9] reported the rate of anastomotic leakage was lower in STC/TC compared to SC [10]. On the other hand, in a recent French multicenter trial, the rate of anastomotic leakage in SC was not higher than STC/TC. However, in that study, 15% of SC patients needed diverting ileostomy [11], indicating that these procedures, in reality, are not truly 1-stage procedures. Even loop ileostomy has the risk of morbidity in formation (12%) and reversal (17.3%–21%) [24, 25].

Another problem with SC with intraoperative irrigation is that it is difficult to detect synchronous colon cancer. In colon cancer patients without obstruction, preoperative colonoscopy could detect 5% to 7% of synchronous colon cancers [26–28]. In this study, synchronous colon cancer was detected in 9.1% of patients (5 of 55). When the range expanded, adenoma was detected in 41.8% of patients (23 of 55), and 17.4% of them (4 of 23) were reported as high-grade dysplasia. For these reasons, early colonoscopy follow-up is generally warranted after SC. However, if synchronous colon cancer is detected, reoperation is inevitable.

STC/TC is a safe 1-stage procedure that does not require a

Short- and long-term outcomes of subtotal/total colectomy in the management of obstructive left colon cancer

Jung Tak Son, et al

stoma. The rate of anastomotic leakage is reported as 0% to 2.8% [10, 12–14]. The anastomotic leakage rate of STC/TC is not higher than the known rate of elective right hemicolectomy or SC (2.8% and 2.3%, respectively) [29]. One report showed that the rate of anastomotic leakage was as high as 14% after STC/TC [11]. However, compared with previous reports, the gap in the rate was too large. It is difficult to accept this rate as general. In this study, the rate of anastomotic leakage was 0% without any diverting ileostomy.

Annals of

Coloproctology

Compared to other surgeries, STC/TC requires a relatively longer operation time because the area to dissect is larger. In this study, the average length of surgery was 175 minutes (range, 155– 210 minutes). Therefore, in hemodynamically unstable patients, primary diverting colostomy would be the safer option relative to STC/TC. Our study did not include hemodynamically unstable patients; however, compared with SC with intraoperative colonic irrigation, the operation time of STC/TC was reported as shorter [15]. Therefore, except for patients that are hemodynamically unstable or have severe comorbidities that require the avoidance of long operation time, STC/TC is accepted as an available operative option.

Morbidity and mortality for OLCC operation were reported as high as 28.0% and 7.0%, respectively, and the rate of severe complications (more than Clavien-Dindo grade III) was reported as 7.0% [11]. The rate of postoperative morbidity in this study was similar (21.8%) and the rate of severe complications and mortality was relatively low (1.8% and 0%, respectively). What is remarkable in this study is that anastomotic leakage or surgical site infection were not observed. There are several hypotheses for why the anastomotic leak rate is low in STC/TC. First, the mobility of the small bowel allows the surgeon to perform ileocolic or ileorectal anastomosis without tension. Second, the ileocolic anastomosis benefits from an optimal blood supply due to the high vascularity of the ileum [30]. Based on these concepts, there is no reason for the leakage rate of STC/TC to be higher than that of right hemicolectomy. However, postoperative ileus was relatively common (18.2%), and 1 patient needed reoperation due to prolonged postoperative ileus. The larger raw surface in the region of dissection and large defects created by excising the area of the colon are thought to be the cause of postoperative ileus.

Functional aspects are big concerns with respect to the use of STC/TC. In a previous randomized controlled trial, increased bowel frequency (3 or more bowel movements per day) was more common in the STC group than in the SC with intraoperative colonic irrigation group during the immediate postoperative period [8]. In another study, 31.2% of patients who underwent STC/TC had diarrhea during the immediate postoperative period. However, most symptoms naturally improved or were controlled by antidiarrheal medication, and disabling diarrhea was reported in only 6.2% of patients [15]. The average bowel movement after 3 to 6 months and 12 months after surgery was reported as 2 stools per day [13, 14]. This study showed similar results. In the 6 to 12

weeks after surgery, 14.5% of patients required antidiarrheal medication, 7.3% of patients complained of mild diarrhea (less than 4 times a day), and 7.3% of patients complained of moderate diarrhea (4 or more times a day). After 1 year, only 5.5% of patients required antidiarrheal medications. The length of the remaining colon and the resected terminal ileum are known to be important factors influencing the occurrence of diarrhea after surgery [31]. In our study, the terminal ileum was carefully resected within 10 cm, and the rectal resection was always above the level of the pelvic promontory to preserve more than 10 cm of the rectum.

Patients diagnosed with obstructive colon cancer and underwent 1-stage emergency curative treatment were reported with worse long-term survival than patients with nonobstructive lesions [32, 33]. The long-term outcome of this study was relatively favorable compared to previous studies [11, 34]. Since this study was not designed as a comparative study, it is difficult to directly compare results.

The number of lymph nodes evaluated after surgical resection of colorectal cancer is a known predictor of survival [35]. In this study, there are no cases involving less than 12 lymph nodes, and the median number was 42. Although this number is higher than that of SC, its clinical significance is not clear because it includes lymph nodes of the right-side colon. However, the main reasons for our results are that all surgeries involved were performed by colorectal specialists and the surgeries were performed according to the oncologic principle.

The noncomparative design, small number of included patients, and short period of follow-up are limitations of this study. However, the safety and the benefits of STC/TC were confirmed. In order to verify these results, prospective, large-scale, randomized controlled trials will be needed.

In conclusion, STC/TC for OLCC is a safe, 1-stage procedure that does not require diverting stoma. The advantages of STC/TC also include the elimination of synchronous and potentially metachronous colon tumors and the removal of proximal dilated colon, most importantly, the risk of anastomotic leakage observed is very low. Most patients did not complain of diarrhea or were well controlled with medication and severe diarrhea rarely occurred after surgery. In addition, the 3-year OS and DFS are also acceptable. Therefore, STC/TC is a reasonable treatment option for OLCC.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

FUNDING

None.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: HOK; Data curation: JTS; Formal analysis: all authors; Investigation: JTS, HOK; Methodology: HOK; Project administration: HOK; Supervision: HOK, HK; Visualization: JTS, YBK; Writing–original draft: JTS, YBK; Writing–review & editing: all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ORCID

Jung Tak Son, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8898-2691 Yong Bog Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5448-5830 Hyung Ook Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0603-8766 Chungki Min, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2800-3214 Yongjun Park, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3585-6584 Sung Ryol Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9963-3673 Kyung Uk Jung, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8844-3724 Hungdai Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3761-5431

REFERENCES

- 1. Deans GT, Krukowski ZH, Irwin ST. Malignant obstruction of the left colon. Br J Surg 1994;81:1270–6.
- Mege D, Manceau G, Beyer L, Bridoux V, Lakkis Z, Venara A, et al. Right-sided vs. left-sided obstructing colonic cancer: results of a multicenter study of the French Surgical Association in 2325 patients and literature review. Int J Colorectal Dis 2019;34:1021– 32.
- 3. Pearce NW, Scott SD, Karran SJ. Timing and method of reversal of Hartmann's procedure. Br J Surg 1992;79:839–41.
- Tomita M, Saito S, Makimoto S, Yoshida S, Isayama H, Yamada T, et al. Self-expandable metallic stenting as a bridge to surgery for malignant colorectal obstruction: pooled analysis of 426 patients from two prospective multicenter series. Surg Endosc 2019;33: 499–509.
- Amelung FJ, Borstlap WA, Consten EC, Veld JV, van Halsema EE, Bemelman WA, et al. Propensity score-matched analysis of oncological outcome between stent as bridge to surgery and emergency resection in patients with malignant left-sided colonic obstruction. Br J Surg 2019;106:1075–86.
- Pal A, Saada J, Kapur S, Tighe R, Stearns A, Hernon J, et al. Technical and clinical outcomes after colorectal stenting in malignant large bowel obstruction: a single-center experience. Ann Coloproctol 2021;37:85–9.
- Balciscueta I, Balciscueta Z, Uribe N, García-Granero E. Longterm outcomes of stent-related perforation in malignant colon obstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis 2020;35:1439–51.
- 8. The SCOTIA Study Group. Single-stage treatment for malignant left-sided colonic obstruction: a prospective randomized clinical trial comparing subtotal colectomy with segmental resection fol-

 Kluger Y, Shiloni E, Jurim O, Katz E, Rivkind A, Ayalon A, et al. Subtotal colectomy with primary ileocolonic anastomosis for obstructing carcinoma of the left colon: valid option for elderly high risk patients. Isr J Med Sci 1993;29:726–30.

Annals of

Coloproctology

- Käser SA, Glauser PM, Künzli B, Dolanc R, Bassotti G, Maurer CA. Subtotal colectomy for malignant left-sided colon obstruction is associated with a lower anastomotic leak rate than segmental colectomy. Anticancer Res 2012;32:3501–5.
- 11. Mege D, Manceau G, Bridoux V, Voron T, Sabbagh C, Lakkis Z, et al. Surgical management of obstructive left colon cancer at a national level: results of a multicentre study of the French Surgical Association in 1500 patients. J Visc Surg 2019;156:197–208.
- Hennekinne-Mucci S, Tuech JJ, Bréhant O, Lermite E, Bergamaschi R, Pessaux P, et al. Emergency subtotal/total colectomy in the management of obstructed left colon carcinoma. Int J Colorectal Dis 2006;21:538–41.
- Min CK, Kim HO, Lee D, Jung KU, Lee SR, Kim H, et al. Obstructive left colon cancer should be managed by using a subtotal colectomy instead of colonic stenting. Ann Coloproctol 2016;32:215–20.
- Nehmeh WA, Gabriel M, Tarhini A, Chaktoura G, Sarkis R, Abboud B, et al. Total or subtotal colectomy with primary anastomosis for occlusive left colon cancer: a safe, acceptable and applicable procedure. Gulf J Oncolog 2019;1:57–60.
- Torralba JA, Robles R, Parrilla P, Lujan JA, Liron R, Piñero A, et al. Subtotal colectomy vs. intraoperative colonic irrigation in the management of obstructed left colon carcinoma. Dis Colon Rectum 1998;41:18–22.
- Aslar AK, Ozdemir S, Mahmoudi H, Kuzu MA. Analysis of 230 cases of emergent surgery for obstructing colon cancer: lessons learned. J Gastrointest Surg 2011;15:110–9.
- Biondo S, Parés D, Frago R, Martí-Ragué J, Kreisler E, De Oca J, et al. Large bowel obstruction: predictive factors for postoperative mortality. Dis Colon Rectum 2004;47:1889–97.
- 18. Nugent KP, Daniels P, Stewart B, Patankar R, Johnson CD. Quality of life in stoma patients. Dis Colon Rectum 1999;42:1569–74.
- Oberkofler CE, Rickenbacher A, Raptis DA, Lehmann K, Villiger P, Buchli C, et al. A multicenter randomized clinical trial of primary anastomosis or Hartmann's procedure for perforated left colonic diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis. Ann Surg 2012;256:819–27.
- Garber A, Hyman N, Osler T. Complications of Hartmann takedown in a decade of preferred primary anastomosis. Am J Surg 2014;207:60–4.
- Maggard MA, Zingmond D, O'Connell JB, Ko CY. What proportion of patients with an ostomy (for diverticulitis) get reversed? Am Surg 2004;70:928–31.
- 22. van Hooft JE, Veld JV, Arnold D, Beets-Tan RG, Everett S, Götz M, et al. Self-expandable metal stents for obstructing colonic and extracolonic cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) guideline: update 2020. Endoscopy 2020;52:389–407.
- 23. Sagar J. Colorectal stents for the management of malignant colonic

Short- and long-term outcomes of subtotal/total colectomy in the management of obstructive left colon cancer

Jung Tak Son, et a

obstructions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;2011:CD007378.

Annals of

Coloproctology

- 24. Giannakopoulos GF, Veenhof AA, van der Peet DL, Sietses C, Meijerink WJ, Cuesta MA. Morbidity and complications of protective loop ileostomy. Colorectal Dis 2009;11:609–12.
- Chow A, Tilney HS, Paraskeva P, Jeyarajah S, Zacharakis E, Purkayastha S. The morbidity surrounding reversal of defunctioning ileostomies: a systematic review of 48 studies including 6,107 cases. Int J Colorectal Dis 2009;24:711–23.
- Langevin JM, Nivatvongs S. The true incidence of synchronous cancer of the large bowel: a prospective study. Am J Surg 1984; 147:330–3.
- Evers BM, Mullins RJ, Matthews TH, Broghamer WL, Polk HC Jr. Multiple adenocarcinomas of the colon and rectum: an analysis of incidences and current trends. Dis Colon Rectum 1988;31:518–22.
- Kim MS, Park YJ. Detection and treatment of synchronous lesions in colorectal cancer: the clinical implication of perioperative colonoscopy. World J Gastroenterol 2007;13:4108–11.
- Parthasarathy M, Greensmith M, Bowers D, Groot-Wassink T. Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after colorectal resection: a retrospective analysis of 17 518 patients. Colorectal Dis 2017;19:

288-98.

- Shimura T, Joh T. Evidence-based clinical management of acute malignant colorectal obstruction. J Clin Gastroenterol 2016;50: 273–85.
- Papa MZ, Karni T, Koller M, Klein E, Scott D, Bersuk D, et al. Avoiding diarrhea after subtotal colectomy with primary anastomosis in the treatment of colon cancer. J Am Coll Surg 1997;184: 269–72.
- Carraro PG, Segala M, Cesana BM, Tiberio G. Obstructing colonic cancer: failure and survival patterns over a ten-year follow-up after one-stage curative surgery. Dis Colon Rectum 2001;44:243–50.
- 33. McArdle CS, McMillan DC, Hole DJ. The impact of blood loss, obstruction and perforation on survival in patients undergoing curative resection for colon cancer. Br J Surg 2006;93:483–8.
- O'Connell JB, Maggard MA, Ko CY. Colon cancer survival rates with the new American Joint Committee on Cancer sixth edition staging. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004;96:1420–5.
- 35. Chang GJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Skibber JM, Moyer VA. Lymph node evaluation and survival after curative resection of colon cancer: systematic review. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007;99:433–41.