Fournier Gangrene in a Patient With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Treated With Dapagliflozin: A Case Report
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INTRODUCTION

Fournier gangrene (FG), first described by Jean Alfred Fournier in 1883 [1], is an adverse polymicrobial infection that typically results in cell death of the perineal and genital fasciae. Further, FG needs early recognition, aggressive debridement of devitalized tissue, antibiotics therapy, and a search for primary sources as the treatment of choice for FG [2]. As defined, FG is considered to be idiopathic. Various risk factors for FG have been reported, including diabetes mellitus (DM), alcohol abuse, poor hygiene, malignancies, and human immunodeficiency virus infections. Most of these risk factors have similar features, including impairment and immunosuppression in the microcirculation [3].

Glycemic control is improved by sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors through inhibiting glucose reabsorption in kidney tubules, which thereby increases glucose excretion through urine [4]. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved 4 SGLT2 inhibitors: dapagliflozin, empagliflozin, ertugliflozin, and canagliflozin [5]. SGLT2 inhibitors usage has been correlated with a higher risk of genital infection following increased glycosuria [6]. This has prompted the FDA to publish a warning about FG occurring in patients undergoing SGLT2 inhibitor therapy [7]. We describe the first patient who developed FG during dapagliflozin treatment at our institution.

CASE REPORT

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Jeonbuk National University Hospital (2020-06-012). The written informed consent and permission to use clinical images were received from the patient.

A 66-year-old male patient came to our hospital with a 5-day history of pain in the scrotal and perianal area. The patient’s history revealed type 2 DM (T2DM), hypertension, acute myocardial infarction (percutaneous coronary intervention done 2 times in 2007 and 2014), smoking, and alcohol intake. He has been taking metformin 1,000 mg/day, glimepiride 4 mg/day, and dapagliflozin 10 mg/day as antidiabetic mediation. He started taking dapagliflozin in March 2017. He had no complications from DM and had well-controlled hygiene.

Visiting our hospital, his body temperature was 36.3°C. He was...
not in a state of shock, and vital signs were stable: blood pressure, 99/60 mmHg; heart rate, 105 beats per minute; and respiratory rate, 20 breaths per minute. Skin redness, induration, swelling, and tenderness were observed in both the scrotum and perineum. Focal skin necrosis and a foul smell were observed, especially in the lower scrotal area. There was no trauma.

Routine laboratory data revealed a white blood cell (WBC) count of 15.03 × 10^3/μL, C-reactive protein at 211.46 mg/L, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) at 6.7%. Urinary analysis showed no evidence of urinary infection (red blood cells, 0 to 2/high power field [HPF]; WBC, 3–5/HPF). A computed tomography (CT) scan of the lower abdomen and pelvis revealed findings consistent with FG (Fig. 1).

All antidiabetic drugs were stopped at admission. In the emergency room, a Foley catheter was inserted, and antibiotics (2 g of ceftriaxone and 500 mg of metronidazole) were given intravenously. The patient underwent emergency surgery under general anesthesia, and laparoscopy-assisted diverting loop ileostomy for inflammation, surgical drainage, and debridement of the necrotic tissue on scrotum, groin, and perineum were performed. Due to the perioperative onset of shock with a need for catecholamine (0.05-μg/minute of norepinephrine) during the operation, the patient was admitted to the surgical intensive care unit (SICU). In the SICU, we changed antibiotics to more broad-spectrum antibiotics (4 g of piperacillin with 0.5 g of tazobactam). On postoperative day (POD) 1, the patient's vital signs stabilized and he was transferred to a ward. On POD 2, a diet was started and antidiabetic drugs resumed without dapagliflozin. On POD 6, 100 mg of sitagliptin was added after an endocrinology consultation. On POD 7, wound debridement was performed under local anesthesia.

**DISCUSSION**

DM usually presents with significant microvascular manifestations, as suggested by diabetic retinopathy, amputation, and nephropathy. FG may result from similar processes and may worsen as a result of relative immunosuppression. During the infection period, endogenous production of glucose increases due to an increased rate of catabolism. Adherence, chemotaxis, and bactericidal activities of phagocytes are affected by hyperglycemia; and reports show its detrimental effects on cellular immunity. Further, DM has been reported to be a predisposing factor in 32% to 66% of FG cases. Moreover, DM impacts the clinical course of the disease, associated with a longer hospital stay, younger age, and a different bacteriology spectrum [8].

One of the common causes of FG is anal fistula. According to the patient’s past history, physical exam, and CT review, we cannot determine suspicion for anal fistula. And in the operation field, we couldn’t find internal opening of anal fistula. So it’s hard
to conclude that this case is related to anal fistula.

Among patients receiving SGLT2 inhibitors between March 2013 and January 2019, the FDA identified 55 cases of FG. Comparatively, only 19 FG cases were reported between 1984 and January 2019 among patients receiving different antidiabetic agents [7]. If FG were correlated with only DM and not SGLT2 inhibitors, significantly more cases should have been reported with different antidiabetic agents, especially in consideration of the larger 35-year timeframe and a greater number of agents. Nevertheless, there have been too few cases to have confidence that FG is related to SGLT2 inhibitor usage.

There are some case reports on FG in patients undergoing SGLT2 therapy. Kumar et al. [9] reported a 41-year-old male patient with T2DM with an HbA1c of 11.2% using empagliflozin, and Onder et al. [10] reported a 64-year-old male patient with T2DM with an HbA1c of 7.4% using dapagliflozin. However, similar to our case, Nagano et al. [11] reported a 34-year-old male patient with T2DM with an HbA1c of 6.5% at the time of FG onset who was on sitagliptin, glibenclamide, and empagliflozin therapy. The patient had no additional complications from DM besides FG [11]. Thus, the risk for developing FG remains in spite of good glycemic management with SGLT2 inhibitors.

Glycosuria can be anticipated with SGLT2 inhibitor treatment and is correlated with increased risk of urinary tract and urogenital infections [12, 13]. Additionally, the environment providing glycosuria-enhanced growth of urogenital flora also may provide an ideal environment for FG as the perineum is colonized already with organisms from the gastrointestinal tract [7].

Additional SGLT2 therapy and deranged glucose levels in the blood may lead potentially to extreme glucose levels in urine, which can provide a favorable environment for urinary infection. Coupled with local immunodeficiency (caused by DM and other comorbidities) and microvascular supply impairment, FG may result in some patients [14]. Nevertheless, the causal mechanism underlying the relationship between SGLT2 inhibitors and FG is still not understood. So FG among patients receiving SGLT2 inhibitors is not always related to urinary tract infection.

In conclusion, FG only occurs in a minimum number of patients receiving SGLT2 inhibitors. But a possible association between FG and SGLT2 inhibitors may exist, and patients treated with SGLT2 inhibitors should be warned about the risk of FG, controlling hygiene, smoking, and quitting alcohol. Carefully monitored for its symptoms at follow up. And if FG occurs in those patients with SGLT2 inhibitors, patients should visit the hospital immediately for proper management, and SGLT2 inhibitors should be discontinued.
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