LONG-TERM EVOLUTION OF CONTINENCE AND QUALITY OF LIFE AFTER SPHINCTEROPLASTY FOR OBSTETRIC FECAL INCONTINENCE
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ABSTRACT

**Purpose.** Evaluate the long-term evolution of continence and patient’s quality of life after surgical treatment for obstetric fecal incontinence.

**Methods** A prospective longitudinal study was conducted including consecutive patients who underwent sphincteroplasty for severe obstetric fecal incontinence. The first phase analyzed changes in continence and impact on quality of life. The second phase studied the long-term evolution reevaluating the same group of patients six years later. Degree of fecal incontinence was calculated using the *Cleveland Clinic Score*. Quality of life assessment was carried out with the *Fecal Incontinence Quality of Life Scale*.

**Results.** 35 patients with median age of 55 (range, 28-73) completed the study.

*Phase One Results:* After a postoperative follow-up of 30 months (4-132), *Cleveland Clinic Score* had improved significantly from a preoperative of 15.7 ± 3.1 to 6.1 ± 5 (p <0.001). *Phase Two Results:* median follow-up in phase two was 110 months (76-204). The *Cleveland Clinic Score* lowered to 8.4 ± 4.9 (p = 0.04). There were no significant differences between phases one and two in terms of quality of life: lifestyle (3.47 ± 0.75 vs. 3.16 ± 1.04), coping/behavior (3.13 ± 0.83 vs 2.80 ± 1.09), depression/self-perception (3.65 ± 0.80 vs 3.32 ± 0.98) and embarrassment (3.32 ± 0.9 vs 3.12 ± 1).

**Conclusion.** Sphincteroplasty offers good short-medium term outcomes in continence and quality of life for obstetric fecal incontinence treatment. Functional clinical results deteriorate over time but did not impact on patients’ quality of life.

**Keywords:** fecal incontinence, sphincteroplasty, obstetric anal sphincter injury, quality of life.
INTRODUCTION

Fecal incontinence (FI) is defined as the inability to control bowel movements, resulting in the involuntary passage of gas or stool. Its prevalence has traditionally been underestimated and published data on incidence in the general population vary. For patients treated in a coloproctology unit the most frequent cause is obstetric trauma. Thirteen percent of women develop some degree of incontinence or urgency after their first vaginal delivery [1] and clinical presentation is variable: some patients are symptomatic immediately after delivery and others develop symptoms over time.

FI from obstetric causes is a medical problem that greatly affects patient’s quality of life. Failure of conservative measures to treat patients with anal sphincter injuries often leads to anterior sphincteroplasty, as originally described by Parks and Mc Partlin [2]. Most series show satisfactory outcomes in approximately 60-80% of cases [3-6]. However, several studies have shown a substantial clinical deterioration over time [7, 8]. This worsening of outcomes in the long term has cast doubts on its merit as the technique of choice, in favor of sacral nerve stimulation (SNS) [9-11].

As previously reported, in our experience sphincteroplasty obtains good results as treatment for obstetric FI (OFI) in a high percentage of patients and has a positive impact on quality of life [12]. The main objective of the present study was to evaluate the long-term evolution of continence and analyze its effect on the patient’s quality of life.

MATERIALS & METHODS
A prospective, longitudinal study spanning 14 years was conducted including consecutive patients who underwent an anterior overlapping sphincteroplasty for obstetric fecal incontinence. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. The inclusion criterion was severe fecal incontinence (frequent and inadvertent voiding per anum of formed stools) due to anatomical sphincter injury of obstetric etiology and unresponsive to conservative treatment. Exclusion criteria were primary repair of the sphincter at the time of delivery and patients with severe systemic disease or advanced neoplasia. The following studies were carried out in order to evaluate the functional, clinical and anatomical aspects of the faecal incontinence: clinical evaluation, anorectal manometry, anal endosonography (from 1996 on) and measurement of the pudendal nerve terminal motor latency.

Patient data were collected prospectively over the course of the study period. The results analysis was carried out over two phases. The first phase analyzed changes in incontinence in patients after surgical treatment and impact on quality of life. The second phase studied the long-term evolution of continence and quality of life reevaluating the same group of patients six years later.

To obtain specific information about their bowel function, patients were given a bowel diary to be completed over four weeks. Degree of FI was calculated using the Cleveland Clinic Score (CCS), Fort Lauderdale, Florida [13] where depending on the type of incontinence and the frequency of the episodes, a score from 0 to 20 is obtained, with 0 represents complete continence and 20 the most severe incontinence. Quality of life (QoL) assessment was carried out with the fecal incontinence quality of life scale (FIQL) developed by Rockwood et al [14]. The questionnaire consists of 29
questions divided into four different scales: lifestyle, coping/behavior, depression/self-perception and embarrassment. The responses to each are on scale of 1 to 5, with one indicating the worst QoL state. The survey was complete at the end of the two phases of the study in all patients. The questionnaire was sent out by mail, and performed telephonically where the questionnaire was not received. An independent surgeon not directly involved in patient management conducted the interviews. Patients operated on after the FIQL publication in 2000, completed it also preoperatively.

All patients underwent overlapping anterior sphincteroplasty after mechanical bowel preparation and intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis [2]. The same group of dedicated colorectal surgeons performed all surgeries. No derivative stoma was required in any of the cases. In patients with destruction of the central nucleus of the perineum, a Corman's anoplasty was added as a plastic procedure [15]. Surgery indication in patients operated on before endoanal ultrasound was available was based on physical examination. Surgical outcomes were classed as excellent if the CCS showed more than 75% improvement over preoperative status, good between 50 and 75%, fair between 25 and 50% and poor for less than 25% improvement.

STATISTICAL METHODS

The database manager used different versions of Microsoft Access for Windows and the SPSS software package, version 18.0. The quantitative variables studied were preoperative CCS and the scores of the four scales of the preoperative QoL survey in phase one and two. The quantitative outcome variables of the study were CCS in the first and second phases. Rothbarth et al have shown that a CCS score of 9 or above shows a significant deterioration in quality of life [16]. On this basis, we
discretized the above variables with this value through ROC curve analysis, adopting the cut-off point to maximize sensitivity and specificity. We thus created two new qualitative dichotomous variables: CCS over 9 in the first and second phases.

Firstly, descriptive statistics of the sample were measured and continuous variables were analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean with standard deviation and the others as median with interquartile range. Qualitative variables were expressed as percentages and absolute frequency.

Possible dependence between quantitative variables was studied using the Spearman coefficient, since these variables did not follow a normal distribution. Correlation between qualitative variables was assessed using the $\chi^2$ test. The odds ratio was also calculated when the relationship between the qualitative outcome variables and the study variables was significant ($p < 0.05$). The relationship between the study variables and the new qualitative outcome variables created was analyzed using the Mann-Whitney $U$ test, while the Friedman test was used to compare the CCS scores and the different domains of the quality of life survey along the study period. This study has the ethics committee approval.

RESULTS

PHASE ONE RESULTS

Forty-three patients with a median age of 55 (range, 28-73) underwent surgery, of whom 35 (81.4%) completed the study. Patients’ baseline data are shown in Table 1. After a postoperative follow-up of 30 months (4-132), incontinence had improved significantly from a preoperative CCS of 15.7 ± 3.1 to 6.1 ± 5 ($p <0.001$). Excellent
outcomes were obtained in 46% of cases, good in 23%, fair in 23% and poor in 8%. At the end of follow-up, 71% of patients had a CCS over 9 and 14% were totally continent (CCS = 0). (Table 2)

The results of the quality of life survey in phase one of the study were: lifestyle 3.5 ± 0.65, coping/behavior 3.1 ± 0.81, depression/self-perception 3.7 ± 0.75 and embarrassment 3.3 ± 0.91.

Patients with a CCS higher than 9 in this first phase had poorer quality of life than those with scores under 9: lifestyle 2.82 ± 0.98 vs 3.77 ± 0.31 (p = 0.002), coping/behavior 2.26 ± 0.69 vs 3.48 ± 0.65 (p = 0.001), depression/self-perception 3.08 ± 1.13 vs 3.95 ± 0.37 (p = 0.06) and embarrassment 2.15 ± 0.84 vs 3.70 ± 0.53 (p <0.001).

PHASE TWO RESULTS

Of the 35 patients who completed phase one of the study, we were able to retest 25 (71%) in the second. The remaining ten patients failed to complete the study, due to loss to follow-up in four cases, death in three, advanced neurological disease in two, and a final patient was subsequently treated with sacral nerve stimulation owing to progression of incontinence (Figure 2). The median age of the latter group was 54 years (28-73), and median follow-up in phase two was 110 months (76-204).

In the group of 25 patients who completed the study, the preoperative CCS had improved significantly in phase one of the study, after 30 months follow-up (4-132), from 15.5 ± 3.1 to 5.8 ± 4.9 (p <0.001). In the second phase a deterioration was observed in the CCS, which lowered to 8.4 ± 4.9 (p = 0.04) (Figure 3). 40% of patients maintained excellent outcomes, 4% good, 24% fair and 32% poor. 60% of patients had a CCS below 9 and 16% were completely continent (CCS 0) (Table 2).
There were no significant differences between phases one and two in terms of quality of life. (Figure 4) Patients who had a CCS greater than 9 in this second phase had worse quality of life than those with lower scores: lifestyle $2.56 \pm 1.25$ vs. $3.65 \pm 0.50$ ($p = 0.008$), coping/behavior $2.15 \pm 1.10$ vs $3.35 \pm 0.78$ ($p = 0.008$), depression/self-perception $2.82 \pm 1.10$ vs $3.36 \pm 0.67$ ($p = 0.02$) and embarrassment $2.61 \pm 1.21$ vs $3.56 \pm 0.58$ ($p = 0.03$). In the 13 patients who completed the survey preoperatively and were operated afterwards, a significant improvement was observed in each of the four scales in phase one and these results did not get worse in phase two (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

OII is a socially significant and potentially incapacitating condition and treatment should correspond to symptom severity. Conservative measures such as dietary changes, anti-diarrheic agents or biofeedback techniques are sufficient for lower degrees of FI, but in severe cases surgical treatment is required. Women with FI have long life expectancy and long-term results should be taken into account when offering treatment. In most series, short-term outcomes with anterior sphincteroplasty of the external anal sphincter are considered excellent or good in 71-86% of cases [3-6], but studies with a longer-term follow-up of between five and ten years show worsening continence, indicating that sphincteroplasty loses effectiveness over time [7, 8, 17-19].

Our series is one of the few existing studies with a follow-up of more than 100 months [17, 20, 21]. Consistent with previously mentioned studies we observed a worsening in patient continence over time; however, we found that satisfactory long-term results were maintained. At 110 months’ follow-up 44% of patients presented excellent or good outcomes, 56% had a CCS less than 9 and 16% were completely
continent. The CCS deteriorated notably in the second phase of the study, although it remained significantly lower compared to before the intervention (8.4 vs 15.5). Coinciding with our findings, Oom et al study with 111 months’ follow-up concludes that sphincteroplasty maintains good or excellent results in the long term in 60% of patients [20].

Along the same lines, in a study of OFI patients with 84 months’ follow-up Maslekar et al note that 20% remain completely continent, that CCS improved significantly compared with the preoperative period (from 14 to 7), and that 95% of patients were satisfied with the operation [22]. A positive correlation has been found between improvement of sphincter function in the short and long term. Vaizey et al describe a bimodal distribution: on the one hand patients with significantly improved continence in the short term and who maintained this in the long term, and on the other patients with poor results from the beginning [23]. In our series, 80% of patients who showed more than 75% CCS improvement in the first phase maintained an excellent result in the second.

FI treatment evaluation must take into account not only functional results but whether they improve patients QoL, and whether this improvement is maintained in the long term. In patients who completed the survey before undergoing surgery, QoL was significantly better in the first phase of the study than before the sphincteroplasty, and this improvement lasted in the long term. Likewise, when analyzing the complete series, we observed that results obtained in the QoL survey in the first phase did not change over time, despite deterioration in continence. The cut-off point (CCS 9) described by Rothbarth et al [16] was validated, as in both the first and second phases women with a CCS under 9 had better QoL results than those scoring 9 or over. CCSs remained below 9 at the end of follow-up, showing no significant
changes in QoL.

Nowadays SNS plays a very important role in therapeutic algorithms for FI [24, 25]. Recent studies have reported successful outcomes with SNS in patients with sphincter trauma, prompting debate on whether sphincteroplasty should remain the technique of choice [9-11]. The long-term data available, however, indicate that there may also be deterioration in continence after SNS [26, 27]. Likewise, SNS effectiveness may be overestimated in some studies that analyze the number of patients with definitive implant with 50% reduction in incontinence at the end of follow-up, without considering patients in whom the device was not implanted owing to treatment failure at the initial trial phase. When all patients intended for treatment are included in the analysis, response rates range between 40% and 60%, with an average of 59% versus an average of 85% when only patients with an installed neuromodulator are studied [28].

Several factors complicate comparative analysis of SNS and sphincteroplasty outcomes in published series: heterogeneity; low patient numbers; lack of uniform criteria for success, and diverse methodology used to evaluate outcomes in terms of postoperative continence and patient satisfaction. The limited number of studies that compare both techniques are therefore retrospective and with few patients [29, 30]. To clarify the true role of each in OFI management, long-term prospective and randomized studies are needed, including only patients with this etiology, comparing SNS and sphincteroplasty using severity scales and validated quality of life surveys. Our treatment of choice in patients with IFO and shincter defect is sphincteroplasty. (Figure 6)

Finally, this study is limited by certain factors. Firstly, the patient inclusion period was
extensive; availability of diagnostic tools such as endoanal ultrasound or quality of life questionary varied during this time. In the initial analysis during the first phase of the study, there was marked heterogeneity regarding time elapsed since sphincteroplasty and the follow-up range was therefore very broad. Another hindrance was the limited number of patients, which as expected in a long-term longitudinal study was exacerbated by loss to follow-up. Lastly, this study analyses outcomes of a specific surgical technique without comparison with other treatments. Despite these limitations, we think that our work makes an interesting contribution, being one of the few series in the literature with a follow-up of over 100 months, which analyses the evolution of continence and quality of life in FI patients of obstetric origin.

In conclusion, anterior sphincteroplasty offers good short-medium term outcomes for OFI treatment and this improvement has a positive impact on patients’ quality of life. Functional clinical results deteriorate over time, but remain good in a high percentage of patients. Long term deterioration in fecal continence did not impact on patients’ quality of life.
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Legends for figures

**Figure 1:** Surgical technique: a) curvilinear incision ad the edge of pigmentated skin. b) The two ends of the external sphincter have been dissected. c) The sphincter ends are overlapped suturing the tissue from one end to the middle part of the opposite sphincter muscle. D) overlapped anterior sphincteroplasty

**Figure 2:** Distribution of study subjects

**Figure 3:** Box plots showing evolution of continence over time in study subjects. 

*CCS: Cleveland Clinic Score* (Preoperative 15.5 ± 3.1, Phase One 5.8 ± 4.9; p < 0.001 and Phase Two 8.4 ± 4.9; p 0 0.04).

**Figure 4:** Box plot comparing scores in phase one and two of the study across the four scales in the QoL survey: a) Lifestyle (3.47 ± 0.75 vs. 3.16 ± 1.04; p = 0.65), b) Coping/behavior (3.13 ± 0.83 vs 2.80 ± 1.09; p = 0.53), c) Depression/self-perception (3.65 ± 0.80 vs 3.32 ± 0.98; p = 0.52) d) Embarrassment (3.32 ± 0.9 vs 3.12 ± 1; p= 0.10).

**Figure 5:** Scores in FIQL compared preoperative, first and second phases of the study.

Lifestyle (1.7±0.5 vs. 3.4 ± 1, p = 0.001; vs. 3.2 ± 1, p = 0.64), Coping/behavior (1,4 ± 0.3 vs. 3.1 ± 0.83 ,p = 0.001; vs. 2.7 ± 1, p = 0.20), Depression/self-perception (2.1 ± 0.7 vs. 3.7 ± 0.8 ,p = 0.001; vs. 3.2 ± 1, p = 0.17) and Embarrassment (1.8 ± 0.7 vs. 3.2 ± 1 ,p = 0.001; vs 3.1 ± 1, p = 0.29).

**Figure 6:** Obstetric fecal incontinence surgical treatment algorithm.
**Table 1**: Patients’ obstetric history and preoperative baseline study data.

n (%). * median (range), MBP: maximum basal pressure; MVCP: maximum voluntary contraction pressure; PNTML: pudendal nerve terminal motor latency terminal motor latency pudendal nerves; EAS: external anal sphincter; IAS: internal anal sphincter.

**Table 2**: Evolution of CCS between phases one and two of the study

*CCS*: *Cleveland Clinic Score*. Outcome: improvement in CCS compared with preoperative score (excellent > 75%, good between 50 and 75%, fair between 25 and 50% and poor < 25%).

n (%), * mean (SD)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaginal deliveries</th>
<th>2 (1-6)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childbirth after onset of incontinence</td>
<td>16 (45.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RISK FACTORS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental delivery</td>
<td>12 (34.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight &gt; 4 Kg</td>
<td>4 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental + &gt;4 Kg</td>
<td>5 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiotomy</td>
<td>5 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>9 (25.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time span between childbirth and sphincteroplasty (years)**

| Time span | 24 (1-50) * |

**Preoperative CCS: Mean (SD)**

| Mean (SD) | 15.5 ± 3.1 |

**Manometry: Mean (SD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBP</td>
<td>25.9 ±10.2 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVCP</td>
<td>66.7± 25.5 mmHg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PNTML: n (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>23 (65.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1: Patients’ obstetric history and preoperative baseline study data.

n (%). * median (range), MBP: maximum basal pressure; MVCP: maximum voluntary contraction pressure; PNTML: pudendal nerve terminal motor latency terminal motor latency pudendal nerves; EAS: external anal sphincter; IAS: internal anal sphincter.
**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PHASE ONE</th>
<th>PHASE TWO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCS</strong></td>
<td>5.8 ± 4.9*</td>
<td>8.4 ± 4.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTCOME:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>16 (46)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCS &lt; 9</strong></td>
<td>25 (71)</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPLETELY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTINENT (CCS = 0)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2:** Evolution of CCS between phases one and two of the study

**CCS:** *Cleveland Clinic Score.* Outcome: improvement in CCS compared with preoperative score (excellent > 75%, good between 50 and 75%, fair between 25 and 50% and poor < 25%).

n (%), * mean (SD)
surgical technique
surgical technique
surgical technique
distribution of study subjects

SPHINCTEROPLASTY
- 43 Patients

PHASE ONE
- 35 Patients
- 4 referrals from other centres with follow up carried out in their original hospitals
- 4 Lost to follow up
- 3 deceased
- 2 severe neurological disease
- 1 SNG

PHASE TWO
- 25 Patients
box plots evolution of continence over time
box plot QoL Phase 1 vs 2, lifestyle
box plot QoL Phase 1 vs 2, Coping
box plot QoL Phase 1 vs 2, depression
box plot QoL Phase 1 vs 2, embarrassment
QoL preoperative vs phase 1 vs phase 2
OFI surgical treatment algorithm

- Obstetric fecal incontinence
  - Medical treatment +/- Biofeedback
  - Sphincter defect
    - yes: Overlapping sphincteroplasty
      - yes: Repeat sphincteroplasty
      - no: Endoanal ultrasound
        - yes: Consider: Stoma, Dynamic graciloplasty, Artificial sphincter
        - no: Sacral Nerve Stimulation
          - yes: Falls
          - no: Falls
    - no: Falls